

## CHAPTER V

### CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTIONS

#### 5.1 Conclusion

Based on the descriptions of this study in *Othello*, it can be concluded that the utterances which contain politeness strategies or maxims of politeness are used at some of the dialogues in *Othello*. There are 40 utterances which contain six kinds of politeness strategies namely tact maxim, generosity maxim, approbation maxim, modesty maxim, agreement maxim and sympathy maxim in *Othello* (a film adapted from William Shakespeare's play). Then, those utterances were divided into 9 utterances of the tact maxim, 5 utterances of generosity maxim, 4 utterances of approbation maxim, 14 utterances of modesty maxim, 5 utterances of agreement maxim and 3 utterances in sympathy maxim.

Furthermore, based on the justification of this study, the tact maxim was produced by the characters when they are requesting, commanding, vowing, recommending, advising, and ordering someone. While, the generosity maxim was produced by the speaker in offering, advising, requesting, and vowing someone, In order to make the hearer feel comfortable by softly produce their utterance.

Hereinafter, the approbation maxim used by the speaker to praise, to forgive, to thank someone while the modesty maxim used in praising, dispraising, pardoning, stating to show they love, honesty, and respect by dispraise their own self.

Moreover, characters used the agreement maxim in stating, complaining, reporting and claiming, then, while the sympathy maxim used in condolence, sympathy and complaining. It means that the all utterances contain politeness strategies which is produced by the characters in *Othello* as well as possible created by the author softly and smoothly to make both of the speaker and the hearer feel comfortable.

## **5.2 Suggestions**

The subject “Semantics and pragmatics” is actually important to students in comprehending and comparing the types of meaning. By learning this subject in a school or university can help us to understand an appropriate word and sentences for certain intention.

Henceforth, the next researcher who interest to study a pragmatics analysis especially Politeness strategies can improve this study by conducting similar topic but choose the different object such as students or lectures of English Department’ conversations to become source of data analysis.

Pragmatics is a popular subject taken as topic of Skripsi in English Department. Yet, there is not much literature about pragmatics that is available in both faculty library and main library. It is hoped that in the future Gorontalo state University can provide more literature talking about this subject.

## REFERENCES

Alaoui, Sakina M. 2011. *Politeness Principle: A comparative Study of English and Moroccan Arabic requests, offers and Thanks*. Sultan Qaboos University, Muscat, Oman. European journal of social sciences – volume 20, Number 1 (2011). accessed on august 8 2012.

Arikunto, Surahmi. (2009) *Manajemen Penelitian*. Jakarta: Rineka Cipta

Austin, J.L. 1962. *How To Do Things With Words*. Oxford: Oxford University press.

Cruse, Alan. 2006. *A Glossary of Semantics and Pragmatics*. Edinburgh. Edinburgh University Press

Leech, Geoffrey. 1993. *Prinsip-Prinsip Pragmatik*. Universitas Indonesia Press

Leech, N. Geoffrey. 1983. *Principles of Pragmatics*. London and New York; longman

Manis, Jim. 1999. *Othello by William Shakespeare*. Pennsylvania State University

Nadar, F.X. 2009. *Pragmatik dan Penelitian Pragmatik*. Yogyakarta; Graha Ilmu

Sa'diyah, Ima Khalimatus. *Politeness Strategies Used by Indonesian Chatters in Internet Relay Chat (IRC)*. State university of malang. ([www.infoskripsi.com/artikel-penelitian/artikel-skripsi-politeness-strategies.html](http://www.infoskripsi.com/artikel-penelitian/artikel-skripsi-politeness-strategies.html), accessed on July 18 2012).

Seken, I ketut. *Politeness in Balinese: The Appealing Strategies*. University of Brawijaya (<http://humanitas.ub.ac.id/index.php/humanitas/article/view/103>, accessed on July 18 2012).

Taylor, Richard. 1981. *Understanding the elements of literature*. London: Pres Ltd

Tarigan, Henry Guntur. 1986. *Pengajaran pragmatik*. Bandung; Penerbit.

Thomas, Jenny. 1995. *Meaning in interaction*. Malaysia; Longman.

Trisnawaty, Garnis. 2011. *Directive Illocutionary acts in relation to politeness strategy in the historica movies The King's Speech*. Diponegoro university of semarang.

[http://eprints.undip.ac.id/31202/2/DIRECTIVE\\_ILLOCUTIONARY\\_ACTS\\_IN\\_RELATION\\_TO\\_POLITENESS\\_STRATEGY\\_IN\\_THE\\_HISTORICAL MOVIE THE KING%27S SPEECH.pdf](http://eprints.undip.ac.id/31202/2/DIRECTIVE_ILLOCUTIONARY_ACTS_IN_RELATION_TO_POLITENESS_STRATEGY_IN_THE_HISTORICAL_MOVIE_THE_KING%27S_SPEECH.pdf)

W. Shuy, Rogger. 1997. *Linguistic theory: what can it say about reading?*.editor, Georgetown University.

Watts, Richard. J. 2003. *Politeness*. New york; Cambridge University Press.

Widdicombe,Toby. 2002. *Simply Shakespeare*. Univesity of Alaska; longman,

Winardi, Andreas. *Politeness in Review Texts Written By American And Japanese Reviewers*. Duta Wacana Christian University Yogyakarta (<http://ijtihad.stainsalatiga.ac.id/jurnal-stain-salatiga/register/daftar-isi/230>)

[politeness-in-review-texts-written-by-american-and-japanese-reviewers.html](http://politeness-in-review-texts-written-by-american-and-japanese-reviewers.html),

accessed on July 18 2012).

Yule, George.2006. *Pragmatics*. Translated by Indah Fajar Wahyuni and Rombe Mustajab.Yogyakarta; Pustaka pelajar

Yule, George.1996. *Pragmatics*. Series editor H.G. widdowson: Oxford university press.