

CHAPTER V

CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION

5.1 Conclusions

Based on the analysis of research about contrastive analysis of Gorontalo language and English indirect speech have been done. I stated some resulted of this research, those are;

1. Indirect speech are found in both Gorontalo language and English that have differentiating and similarities changes from direct to indirect speech
2. The differences between Gorontalo language and English indirect speech especially indirect statement in simple past is the form that in English change verb1 change to verb2, while in Gorontalo language there are no change. And there did not used to be like English.
3. The indirect speech especially indirect statement in simple past of Gorontalo did not used conjunction to report the other statement.
4. It's found that in English, the process of changing from direct to indirect especially indirect statement has to change pronoun, tenses, and time expression but in Gorontalo language the changes only we found in pronoun changes.

5.2 Suggestion

Based on the conclusion above, I would like to give some suggestion as follows:

1. In comparing two languages, we use a contrastive analysis technique. In finding the differences and the similarities between two languages. Besides, contrastive analysis, we can make a clear the description of system, form and rule from two language
2. The readers should know more about the differences and similarities between two or more language, because by recognizing the differences and similarities of two or more language, the reader easy to learn language
3. The other researchers may conduct the other research by using the contrastive analysis technique in another area, such as phonology, vocabulary, grammar, pronunciation and etc. as to make a contribution for English education in formal school.
4. For the Gorontalo learners we have to save our language by using it in our daily life and make research, document. And try to teach Gorontalo language for the other student.