Chapter V: Conclusion

This chapter covers the discussion of the conclusion and suggestion of this study. The conclusion section will explain the description about the conclusion of this research. The researcher suggestion will be explained in the discussion section.

Conclusion

Referring to the research findings and the analysis of the test result, it is conclude that: 1) The improvement of students' ablity n writing descriptive text can be seen from the increasing students' mean writing score from 9.49 in pre test to 18.93 in post test. 2) The use of Sense Word Wall as a media that is apply in teaching descriptive text considers as an effective media. It can be seen from the result effect of treatment. 3)Using Sense Word Wall media is an effective way to teach the students to improve their descriptive text writing. The students become more encourage to write, actively involve, pay more attention and interesting in teaching learning process. It can be proven from the result of pre-test and post test. 4) Sense word wall with pictures is more interactive for students and can increase their interest in writing activity especially students also have Sense word wall application in order to make they feel easy to access the explanation of those words in sense word wall.

Suggestion

Some suggestions are highlighted in the following: 1) It is suggest that English teacher should be creative to choose appropriate teaching media that is interesting for the students and Sense Word Wall as one of media to teach writing descriptive text can help the students more interest in teaching learning process so that they will stimulate to write descriptive text. 2) Teachers and researchers are suggested to use sense word wall media as the media which is can be used in teaching descriptive text but also in teaching another text type. 3) The writer hopes that the result of this research will be useful for the readers. The writer expects that the readers will have more information about the use of sense word wall media to improve students' skills in writing descriptive text. This research can also be one of the references for the next researches.

References

- Anderson, J. (1989). writing english langage (new edition). singapore: pte.
- Brown, H. D. (2007). *Teaching by Principles: An Interactive Approach to Language Pedagogy*. New york: Longman.
- cook, W. R. (2002). *EXPERIMENTAL AND QUASI EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN*. Boston: Houghton Willin Company.
- Crosnberry, J. a. (2004). Word Walls a Support for Literacy in Secondary School Classrom.

 Canada: Curriculum Service.
- Cunningham, D. P. (1999). Multilevel WOrd Study . In I. Faontas, *Voices on Word Matters*—Learning about Phonics (p. 144). Heinemann.
- G.ash, J. J. (2011). Science achievement for all: Improving Sciences Performance and Closing Achievement Gaps. *Journal of Sciences Teacher Education*, 723-744.
- Gerot, L., & Wignell, P. (1994). Making Sense of Functional Grammar. Cammeray: N.S.W.
- Green, J. (1993). *The Word Wall Teaching VOcabulary through immersion.* canada: Pipin Publishing Limited.
- Haris, D. (2001). *Testing English as a Second Language, Mc Graw-Hill* . New York, St Louis, San Fransisco, London, Toronto, Sydney.
- Houle, K. &. (2001). The Wonders of Word Walls." Young Children.
- Jasmine, J. (2009). The Effects of Word Walls and Word Wall. Reading Horizon, 302.
- Jenifer, C. &. (2004). word wall a support of literacy in secondary school in classroom. intructional materials , 2.
- Judith, K. (2004). Winning Ways with Word Walls. Department of Curriculum: University of New Orleans.

K cox, S. J. (2011). Interactive Word Wall. Sciences Scope.

Krohn, R. (1971). English Sentences Structure. TESOL.

Moshe Cohen, M. M. (1984). The effect of distant audiences on. *American Educational Reserach Journal*, 143-159.

Pardiyono. (2007). Pasti bisa! teaching Genre Based Learning. Yogyakarta: CV Andi Ofset.

Pardiyono. (2007). Teching Genre Based Writing. Yogyakarta: Cv Andi .

Ph.D, C. W. (n.d.). Best Practice in Teaching Writing. an outline.

Purnomo, A. (2014). *Improving Descriptive Writing Skill Through Mind Mapping Technique* . Stain Slatiga : Unpublish.

Rahmawati, E. (2014). *Improving Students*" *Writing Skill Through Textless* . Salatiga: Stain Salatiga.

Ricard, J. C. (1997). *The language teaching Matrix*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

silvia, T. (2002). An introduction to apply linguistics. New york: Oxford University Press.

Spruce, M. A. (1998). Assessment Reform, Equity, and English Language Learners. *LAB*,

Office of Educational Research and Improvment.

Sugiyino. (2013). Metode Penelitian Pendidikan. Bandung: Alfabeta.

Susilo, I. (2015). THE EFFECTIVENESS OF USING REALIA. Salatiga: STAIN.

Villaume, E. G. (2001). Question and Answer. International Reading Association.

Whitaker, C. (2004). Best Practice in Teaching Writing. An outline, 2.

 $The \ Using \ of \ \textit{SENSE} \ (Simple \ Present \ Tense) \ \textit{Word Wall} \ to \ Increase \ Students' \ Ability \ in \ Writing \ Descriptive \ Text$

Name of Respondents

- 1. AS (Female)
- 2. AK (Male)
- 3. DD (Female)
- 4. FL (Male)
- 5. FD (Male)
- 6. FA (Female)
- 7. HP (Female)
- 8. MK (Female)
- 9. MMH (Female)
- 10. MA (Female)
- 11. MDL (Female)
- 12. MF (Male)
- 13. MRAR (Male)
- 14. MRR (Male)
- 15. MRM (Male)
- 16. NB (Female)
- 17. OM (Female)
- 18. RMI (Male)
- 19. RSB (Female)
- 20. PN (Female)
- 21. RP (Female)
- 22. RSMN (Female)
- 23. SNSP (Female)
- 24. SAU (Female)
- 25. SNM (Female)
- 26. SKI (Male)
- 27. THY (Male)
- 28. URM (Female)
- 29. ZDJ (Female)
- 30. ZRJ (Female)

The instruments of Pre-test and Post-test

Read carefully the question below.

These questions are a guidance to create your own written text

- 1. Please write 1 paragraph about descriptive
- 2. Choose 1 topic for your descriptive paragraph from these following topics:
 - a. Describe about your best friend!
 - b. Describe about your favorite place in Gorotalo!
 - c. Describe about your Phone!
 - d. Describe about your daily activity!
- 3. Work your written text about 30 minutes
- 4. Work it individually
- 5. Be careful o using mechanism in your writing.

Appendix 3
Students' score in pre test

	Nama	Grammar	Vocabulary	Mechanics	Fluency	Organization	Total
			,		,	ŭ	
1	AS	1	1	2	1	1	6
2	AK	2	2	2	2	1	9
3	DD	1	2	2	2	1	8
4	FL	1	1	2	1	1	6
5	FD	3	2	2	2	2	11
6	FA	2	2	2	2	2	10
7	HP	1	2	2	1	1	7
8	MK	1	2	2	2	1	9
9	ММН	3	2	2	2	2	12
10	MA	2	2	3	2	3	12
11	MDL	2	3	3	2	2	13
12	MF	2	3	2	1	2	10
13	MRAR	1	1	2	1	1	6
14	MRR	3	3	2	2	2	12
15	MRM	2	2	2	2	1	9
16	NB	1	1	2	1	1	6
17	ОМ	2	2	2	2	3	11
18	RMI	2	3	3	2	2	12
19	RSB	1	2	2	2	1	8
20	PN	2	2	1	1	1	7
21	RP	3	3	3	2	2	13
22	RSMN	3	2	3	2	2	13
23	SNSP	2	2	2	2	2	10
24	SAU	2	2	2	2	1	9
25	SNM	1	1	2	2	2	8
26	SKI	1	1	2	1	1	6
27	THY	3	2	2	2	2	11
28	URM	2	2	2	2	2	10
29	ZDJ	2	2	2	1	3	10
30	ZRH	3	3	3	2	2	8
	TOTAL	57	60	65	51	49	284

Appendix 4
Students' score in Posttest

No	Nama	Grammar	Vocabulary	Mechanics	Fluency	Organization	Total
1	AS	4	3	3	3	3	16
2	AK	4	3	3	3	3	16
3	DD	5	5	4	3	4	21
4	FL	4	3	3	3	3	16
5	FD	4	4	4	3	3	18
6	FA	4	5	4	3	5	21
7	HP	3	3	3	3	2	15
8	MK	4	5	5	3	3	20
9	MMH	4	4	4	3	3	18
10	MA	3	3	4	4	3	17
11	MDL	5	5	4	3	3	20
12	MF	3	3	3	3	2	15
13	MRAR	4	4	4	3	3	18
14	MRR	5	5	4	3	3	20
15	MRM	4	4	4	3	3	18
16	NB	5	4	4	4	3	20
17	ОМ	4	4	4	3	4	19
18	RMI	3	3	4	4	3	17
19	RSB	4	5	4	3	5	21
20	PN	4	4	4	3	4	19
21	RP	4	4	4	4	3	19
22	RSMN	4	4	3	4	4	19
23	SNSP	5	5	4	3	4	21
24	SAU	4	4	4	3	3	18
25	SNM	4	3	3	3	3	16
26	SKI	5	4	4	3	4	20
27	THY	4	4	4	3	4	19
28	URM	5	5	4	3	4	21
29	ZDJ	5	4	4	3	4	20
30	ZRH	5	5	4	3	4	21
	TOTAL	125	121	114	95	102	559

Percentages of rubric assessment in Pretest

Grammar

NO	SCORE	SAMPLE	%
1	6		
2	5		
3	4		
4	3	6	20%
5	2	14	47%
6	1	10	33%
		30	100%

Vocabulary

NO	SCORE	SAMPLE	%
1	6		
2	5		
3	4		
4	3	2	6%
5	2	20	67%
6	1	8	27%
		30	100%

Mechanic

NO	SCORE	SAMPLE	%
1	6		
2	5		
3	4		
4	3	3	10%
5	2	21	70%
6	1	6	20%
		30	100%

Fluency

NO	SCORE	SAMPLE	%
1	6		
2	5		
3	4		
4	3		
5	2	18	60%
6	1	12	40%
		30	100%

Form/ Organization

NO	SCORE	SAMPLE	%
1	6		
2	5		
3	4		
4	3	2	6%
5	2	15	50%
6	1	13	44%
		30	100%

Percentages of rubric assessment in Posttest

Grammar

NO	SCORE	SAMPLE	%
1	6		
2	5	11	36%
3	4	14	47%
4	3	5	17%
5	2		
6	1		
		30	100%

Vocabulary

NO	SCORE	SAMPLE	%
1	6		
2	5	10	33%
3	4	7	23%
4	3	13	44%
5	2		
6	1		
		30	100%

Mechanic

NO	SCORE	SAMPLE	%
1	6		
2	5		

3	4	11	37%
4	3	19	63%
5	2		
6	1		
		30	100%

Fluency

NO	SCORE	SAMPLE	%
1	6		
2	5		
3	4	6	20%
4	3	24	80%
5	2		
6	1		
		30	100%

Form/ organization

NO	SCORE	SAMPLE	%
1	6		
2	5		
3	4	10	33%
4	3	20	67%
5	2		
6	1		
		30	100%

The counting interval class and mean score of pre test

The steps of counting the interval class:

a. The range of interval class

b. The among of interval class

$$K = 1 + 3.3 \log n$$

$$= 1 + 3.3 \log 30$$

$$= 1 + 4.874$$

$$= 5.874$$

$$= 6$$

c. The wide of interval class

$$P = R/K$$

$$= 6/6$$

$$= 1$$

Counting the mean score

$$X = \frac{\sum X^2}{n} = 284/30$$

$$= 9.46$$

The counting interval class and mean score of post test

The steps of counting the interval class:

a. The range of interval class

b. The among of interval class

$$K = 1 + 3.3 \log n$$

$$= 1 + 3.3 \log 30$$

$$= 1 + 4.874$$

$$= 5.874$$

$$= 6$$

c. The wide of interval class

$$P = R/K$$

$$= 6/6$$

$$= 1$$

Counting the mean score

$$X = \frac{\sum X^2}{n} = 559/30$$
$$= 18.63$$

The counting of t_{list}:

$$t_{list}: \quad n = 30$$

$$\alpha = 0,05$$

$$df = n-1$$

$$level of t(1-1/2\alpha)(n-1)$$

$$= t(1-1/2(0,05)(30-1))$$

$$= t(1-0,025)(29)$$

$$= 0.975.29$$

$$t_{list} = 1,699$$

Appendix 10

The Differences (d) of between students' score in pre test and post test

No	Name of	PRE	POST	GAIN	Xd(d-	Xd^2
110	Respondent	TEST	TEST	(d) Post	Md Md	Au
	Respondent	ILSI	ILSI	test-Pre	IVIU	
1	A.C.	6	4.6	test	0.77	0.5020
1	AS	6	16	10	0.77	0.5929
2	AK	9	16	7	-2.23	4.9729
	AK		10	'	-2.23	4.3723
3	DD	8	21	13	3.77	14.2129
4	FL	6	16	10	0.77	0.5929
5	FD	11	18	7	-2.23	4.9729
-	TA	10	24	4.4	4 77	2.4220
6	FA	10	21	11	1.77	3.1329
7	HP	7	15	8	-1.23	1.5129
'	111	,			1.23	1.5125
8	MK	9	20	11	1.77	3.1329
9	MMH	12	18	6	-3.23	10.4329
10	MA	12	17	5	-4.23	17.8929
11	MDI	13	20	7	2 22	4.0720
11	MDL	15	20	/	-2.23	4.9729
12	MF	10	15	5	-4.23	17.8929
12	1711	-0				2710020
13	MRAR	6	18	12	2.77	7.6729
14	MRR	12	20	8	-1.23	1.5129
1.5	15015					
15	MRM	9	18	9	-0.23	0.0529
16	ND	6	20	14	4.77	22.7529
10	NB	0	20	14	4.//	22./329
	<u> </u>	L	l	l	l	

17	ОМ	11	19	8	-1.23	1.5129
18	RMI	12	17	5	-4.23	17.8929
19	RSB	8	21	13	3.77	14.2129
20	PN	7	19	12	2.77	7.6729
21	RP	13	19	6	-3.23	10.4329
22	RSMN	13	19	6	-3.23	10.4329
23	SNSP	10	21	11	1.77	3.1329
24	SAU	9	18	9	-0.23	0.0529
25	SNM	8	16	8	-1.23	1.5129
26	SKI	6	20	14	4.77	22.7529
27	THY	11	19	8	-1.23	1.5129
28	URM	10	21	11	1.77	3.1329
29	ZDJ	10	20	10	0.77	0.5929
30	ZRH	8	21	13	3.77	14.2129
		284	559	277	267.77	225.367

$$Md = \frac{\sum d}{n}$$

$$=\frac{277}{30}$$

The counting of t-test

t:
$$\frac{Md}{\sqrt{\frac{\sum x_{d^2}}{n(n-1)}}}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 9.23 \\
 \sqrt{\frac{225.36}{30(30-1)}}
\end{array}$$

$$: \frac{9.23}{\sqrt{\frac{225.36}{870}}}$$

$$\frac{9.23}{\sqrt{0.2590}}$$

$$: \frac{9.23}{0.5089}$$

<u>: 18.13</u>

Appendix 12

LIST COUNT STANDAR DEVIASI DAN VARIANS OF PRE TEST

Interval	F	X1	FX1	X1-X	$(X1-X)^2$	$F(X1-X)^2$
Class						
6-7	7	6.5	45.5	-2.96	8.7616	61.3312
8-9	8	8.5	68	-0.96	0.9216	7.3728
10-11	9	10.5	94.5	1.04	1.0816	9.7344
12-13	10	12.5	125	3.04	9.2416	92.416
						170.8544

$$S^{2} : \frac{\sum fi(x1-x)}{n-1}$$

$$: \frac{170.8}{30-1}$$

$$:\frac{170.8}{29}$$

: √5.88

s : 2.4248

Appendix 13

LIST COUNT STANDAR DEVIASI DAN VARIANS OF POST TEST

Interval	F	X1	FX1	X1-X	$(X1-X)^2$	$F(X1-X)^2$
Class						
15-16	6	15.5	93	-3.13	9.7969	58.7814
17-18	7	17.5	122.5	-1.13	1.2769	8.9383
19-20	10	19.5	195	0.87	0.7569	7.569
21-22	6	21.5	129	2.87	8.2369	49.4214
						124.7101

$$S^{2} : \frac{\sum fi(x1-x)}{n-1}$$

$$:\frac{124.7}{30-1}$$

$$:\frac{124.7}{29}$$

 $: \sqrt{4.3}$

s : 2.0736

Appendix 14

Normality Test of Pre test

X1	z1	fz1	sz1	fz1-sz1
6	-2.73	0.0192	0.16	-0.1408
6	-2.73	0.0192	0.16	-0.1408
6	-2.73	0.0192	0.16	-0.1408
6	-2.73	0.0192	0.16	-0.1408
6	-2.73	0.0192	0.16	-0.1408
7	-1.73	0.1423	0.16	-0.0177
7	-1.73	0.1423	0.16	-0.0177
7	-1.73	0.1423	0.16	-0.0177
7	-1.73	0.1423	0.16	-0.0177
7	-1.73	0.1423	0.16	-0.0177
8	-0.73	0.4721	0.16	0.3121
8	-0.73	0.4721	0.16	0.3121
8	-0.73	0.4721	0.16	0.3121
8	-0.73	0.4721	0.16	0.3121
8	-0.73	0.4721	0.16	0.3121
9	0.27	0.512	0.1	0.412
9	0.27	0.512	0.1	0.412
9	0.27	0.512	0.1	0.412
10	1.27	0.8485	0.16	0.6885
10	1.27	0.8485	0.16	0.6885
10	1.27	0.8485	0.16	0.6885
10	1.27	0.8485	0.16	0.6885
10	1.27	0.8485	0.16	0.6885
11	2.27	0.9788	0.36	0.6188
11	2.27	0.9788	0.36	0.6188

$The \ Using \ of \ \textit{SENSE} \ (Simple \ Present \ Tense) \ \textit{Word Wall} \ to \ Increase \ Students' \ Ability \ in \ Writing \ Descriptive \ Text$

11	2.27	0.9788	0.36	0.6188
11	2.27	0.9788	0.36	0.6188
12	3.27	0.9988	0.1	0.8988
12	3.27	0.9988	0.1	0.8988
12	3.27	0.9988	0.1	0.8988

Appendix 15

Normality test of Post test

X1	z1	fz1	sz1	fz1-sz1
15	-2.9	0.0183	0.16	-0.1417
15	-2.9	0.0183	0.16	-0.1417
15	-2.9	0.0183	0.16	-0.1417
15	-2.9	0.0183	0.16	-0.1417
15	-2.9	0.0183	0.16	-0.1417
16	-1.9	0.1379	0.13	0.0079
16	-1.9	0.1379	0.13	0.0079
16	-1.9	0.1379	0.13	0.0079
16	-1.9	0.1379	0.13	0.0079
17	-0.9	0.4641	0.13	0.3341
17	-0.9	0.4641	0.13	0.3341
17	-0.9	0.4641	0.13	0.3341
17	-0.9	0.4641	0.13	0.3341
18	0.1	0.504	0.13	0.374
18	0.1	0.504	0.13	0.374
18	0.1	0.504	0.13	0.374
18	0.1	0.504	0.13	0.374
19	1.1	0.8438	0.13	0.7138
19	1.1	0.8438	0.13	0.7138
19	1.1	0.8438	0.13	0.7138
19	1.1	0.8438	0.13	0.7138
20	2.1	0.9778	0.16	0.8178
20	2.1	0.9778	0.16	0.8178
20	2.1	0.9778	0.16	0.8178
20	2.1	0.9778	0.16	0.8178

$The \ Using \ of \ \textit{SENSE} \ (Simple \ Present \ Tense) \ \textit{Word Wall} \ to \ Increase \ Students' \ Ability \ in \ Writing \ Descriptive \ Text$

20	2.1	0.9778	0.16	0.8178
21	3.1	0.9987	0.13	0.8687
21	3.1	0.9987	0.13	0.8687
21	3.1	0.9987	0.13	0.8687
21	3.1	0.9987	0.13	0.8687