### Chapter V

## **Conclusion and Suggestion**

This chapter presents conclusions which derived from the study and suggestion intended for the teacher, the students, and further researchers.

### **Conclusions**

In consequence, based on the previous chapter the researcher noted some conclusions. First, reference became the highest frequency of errors that occurred in students' descriptive text than error in the use of conjunctions. Second, as the major errors found on students' descriptive text, errors of the use of reference was divided into errors of demonstrative reference as the highest followed by errors of personal reference as the second major and comparative reference as the lowest percentages, even there were no errors in using comparative reference found in the texts. Error in the use of conjunction which is appeared in students' descriptive text is only errors in using additive conjunction. There were also did not found error in using adversative, causal and temporal conjunctions. On the other hand, based on the classification of error according to Corder (1981), errors of omission became the mostly errors that found in students' descriptive text than errors of omission in using conjunction. Then, it is followed by errors of addition and also error of selection, which both of them has the same total of numbers of frequency. The last is error of ordering which is not found in students' descriptive text.

In relation to a second language learning, Dulay et.al (1982, p.138) stated that people cannot learn language without first systematically committing errors. So,

errors are commonly thing in language process, it cannot be denied but it needs to correct it by the error that was made. By seeing the result, it can be concluded that some of the students understand how to use grammatical cohesion particularly reference and conjunction, even though some of them still made a mistake even error, although the error were categorized within a small rank number. In addition, the students' ability in using appropriate grammatical cohesion is needed to be improved particularly in the use of reference which got the highest numbers of frequency and percentage.

# Suggestion

In accordance with the research finding, discussion and the conclusion of the research, the researcher would like to propose some suggestions which hopefully will be useful either for lectures, students and the further researcher.

To begin, it is hoped that the teacher who taught English should be more pay attention to the students, either the material which would be taught or the method that used in the class also students' output while learning process. It was advisable to check students' product because it could be the instrument to measure or to know students' comprehend and quality of writing. In other hand, it also helped to know where the students need reinforcement in learning. It is also expected for the teacher or lectures to be creative, innovative, and keep spirit in teaching and guiding the students through the learning process. Also the lecturer may added Cohesion in writing subject as one of the topic which should be pay attention and mastery.

However, not only the teacher but also students need to more learning to mastery grammar particularly grammatical cohesion by practice more either in writing or speaking. The students advisable to not depend on the learning process in the class because they can do it by independent learning.

The last but not least, the researcher suggests to the further researcher of the similar area to analyze the errors in using the other types of grammatical cohesion such as substitution and ellipsis and the other is to analyzed the coherence of students' text in descriptive text or the other academic text. In addition, the research also could be analyzed the factors that affects the students' grammatical errors. Thus, they can find more various types of errors on the use of cohesion particularly in the use of grammatical cohesion and also the coherence of the text.

#### References:

- Abdurahman, N. H. (2013). *Grammatical Cohesion Analysis of Students' Thesis*Writing . Pontianak: Tanjungpura University Pontianak.
- Afifudin. (2016). An Analysis of Students' Errors In Writing Descriptive Texts.

  English Education Journal (EEJ), 7 (1), 130-139.
- Ahmed, A. H. (2010). cohesion. Students problems with Cohesion and Coherence in EFL Essay Writing in Egypt: Different Perspectives, 1 (4), 212.
- Amaliyyah, S. M. (2015). Analysis On The Use of Cohesive Devices On The College Students Essay at STKIP PGRI Pasuruan. An Article, Sekolah Tinggi Keguruan Dan Ilmu Pendidikan Pasuruan, Pasuruan.
- Artawa, K. (2004). *Cohesive Devices in Indonesian*. Denpasar: CV. Bali Media Adikarsa.
- Ayub, S. Suarnajaya, K. (2013). An Analysis of the Cohesion and Coherence of Students' English Writings at the Second Grade of SMAN 1 Labuapi West Lombok. e-Journal Program Pascasarjana Universitas Pendidikan Ganesha Program Studi Pendidikan Bahasa Inggris, 1, 5-6.
- Azar, B.S. Understanding and Using English Grammar Second Edition. New Jersey: Prentice Hall Regents, 1989

- Azzouz, B. (2009). A Discourse Analysis of Grammatical Coheson in Student's Writing, A Case Study of Second Year Students Mentoury University-Constatntine, 23-24. Mentoury University.

  <a href="http://bu.umc.edu.dz/theses/anglais/AZZ1086.pdf">http://bu.umc.edu.dz/theses/anglais/AZZ1086.pdf</a>
- Bae, J. (2001). Cohesion and Coherence in Children's Written

  English:Immersion and English-only Classes. *Regents of the University of California*, 12 (1), 51-88.
- Baker, M. 1992. In other words a coursebook on translation. London: Routledge
- Beaugrande, R. A. & Dressler, W. U. 1981. *Introduction to Text Linguistics*.

  Londonand New York: Longman.
- Brown. G and Yule. G. (1983). Discourse Analysis. Cambridge: C.U.P.
- Brown, H. D. (2007). *Principles of language learning and teaching*. New York: Pearson Longman.
- Chojimah, N. (2014) *Let's Analyze Discourse* (teaching material/diktat. IAIN Tulungagung. Unpublished Undergraduate Thesis.
- Corder, S. P. (1981). *Error Analysis and Interlanguange*. Beirut Berlin Ibadan Mexico City Nicosia: Oxford University Press.
- Creswell, J. W. (2009). Research Design (Third Edition) Qualitative,

  Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches. Los Angeles, London,

  New Delhi, Singapore: SAGE publications.inc.
- Davies, P. And E. Pearse (2000). Succes in English Teaching. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

- Emmaryana, F. (2010). An Analysis on the Grammatical Errors in the students Writing. Jakarta: English Education Department, Faculty of Tarbiyah and Teacher's Training, syarif Hidayatullah State Islamic University.
- Fadilah, N. (2014). An Error Analysis of Using Cohesive Devices In Writing

  Narrative Text at The Second Year Students of SMPN 5 Surabaya.
- Gelderen, E. V. (2010). An Introduction to the Grammar of English Revised

  Edition. Amsterdam/Philadelphia: John Benjamins Publishing Company.
- Golafshani, N. (2003). Understanding reliability and vallidity in qualitative Research. *The Qualitative Report*. 8(4), 597-606.
- Halliday M.A.K and Ruqaiya Hasan. (1976). *Cohesion In English*. London: Longman Group Ltd.
- Halliday, M. A. K., and Mathiessen, C.M.I.M. (2004). *An introduction to functional grammar*. (3rd Ed). London: Edward Arnold.
- Halliday M.A.K. and Ruqaiya Hassan. (1985). *Language, Context and Text*.

  Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Hamnia. (2014). *Improving Students' Ability in Writing Descriptive Text by Using Freewriting Technique*. Gorontalo: Gorontalo State University.
- Hasyim, S. (2002). Error analysis of the Teaching of English. *Journal of Jurusan*Sastra Inggris, Fakultas Sastra, Universitas Kristen Petra, 4 (1), 42-50.

- Hidayati. (2014). Mistakes and Error Analysis of Cohesive Features in

  Argumentative Essay of Fifth Semester Students of English Department of

  Jambi University. *LearnING Journal*, 1 (1), 41-58.
- Hinkel, E. (2003). Simplicity without elegance: Features of sentences in L1 and L2 academic texts. *TESOL Quarterly*, 37(2), 275-301.
- Huang, J. (2002). Error Analysis in English Teaching: A Review of Studies.

  Error Analysis in English Teaching: A Review of Studies.
- Ifon Mulansari, Hasan Basri, Hastini. (2014). The Analysis of The First Year Students' Errors In Pronouncing English Words. *e-Journal of English Language Teaching Society (ELTS)*, 2(3), 2.
- Kusumaningrum, S. (2013). Error Analysis on the Use of Cohesive Devices In

  The Students' Argumentative Writing. Diponegoro University. Semarang:

  Diponegoro University.
- Laeli, M. (2015). An Analysis of Lexical and Grammaticl Cohesion on advertisements of The Jakarta post newspaper. Jakarta: English Letters

  Department, Adab and Humanities Faculty, State Islamic University Syarif Hidayatullah.
- Lim Ho Peng. (1976). An error analysis of English composition written by

  Malaysian speaking high school students. Unpublished Masters Thesis,

  University of California Los Angeles.

- Morales, J. B. (2011). Grammatical cohesion in students' argumentative essay. *Journal of English and Literature*, 2 (5), 114-127.
- Muriel Saville-Troike. (2006). *Introducing Second Language Acquisition*.

  Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Ninsiana, W. (2014). Grammatical cohesion devices on the Indonesian translation of English bidding document. *International Journal of Language and Linguistics*, 2 (6), 364-366.
- Nunan, David. 1993. Discourse Analysis. London: Penguin Group
- Nurhayati, Y. (2012). The Error Analysis On The use of Cohesive Devices In

  English Writing Essay Among the Seventh Semester Students of English

  Department of STAIN Salatiga In The Academic Year of 2011/2012.

  Salatiga: State Institute For Islamic Studies (STAIN) of Salatiga.
- Oshima, Alice and Hogue, Ann. (1988). *Introduction to Academic Writing*.

  United States: Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Inc.
- Palmer, R. (2003). *The Good Grammar Guide*. London and NewYork:

  Routledge Taylor & Francis Group.
- PW, M. M. English Learning Handout for Grade VIII, Learning Descriptive

  Text. SMPN 1 Karangdadap: SMPN 1 Karangdadap.
- Randolph Quirk and Sidney Greenbaum. (1976). *A University Grammar of English*. London: Longman.

- Raphael Salkie. (2001). *Text and Discourse Analysis*. London & New York: Taylor & Francis e-Library.
- Richards, J. C. (1971) Error Analysis Perspective on Second Language

  Acquisition; A Noncontrastive Approach to Error Analysis. General Editor

  CN.Candlin.
- Rod Ellis. (2003). *Second Languange Aquisition*. Oxford, New York: Oxford University Press.
- Sanna-Kaisa Tanskanen. (2006). *Collaborating towards Coherence : Lexical Cohesion in English Discourse*. Amsterdam/Philadelphia: John Benjamins

  Publishing Company.
- Sandhi Army Yudha, N. C. An Analysis On Students' Descriptive Writing of

  Eleventh Grade Students at SMA Negeri 1 Tumpang Malang. Surabaya:

  English Department Program, Faculty of Languages and Arts, State

  University Of Surabaya.
- Sari, S. D. (2012). *Analysis of Lexical Cohesion in Applied Linguistics Journals*.

  Padang: English Department Faculty of Humanities Andalas University,
  Padang.
- Setiawaty, J. (2013). The Increasing of Students' Ability in Writing Descriptive

  Text by Using Mind Mapping Tachnique. Gorontalo: English Department,

  Faculty of Letter and Culture, Gorontalo State University.

- Sidney Greenbaum & Gerald Nelson. (2002). An Introduction to English

  Grammar. In S. G. Nelson, *An Introduction to English Grammar Second*Edition (p. 126). London, Sydney, Tokyo: Longman.
- Sirait, F.(2012). An analysis on grammatical errors in communication made by the employees at Golden Virgo Hotel Batam.
- Sudarwati, Th.M and Grace, E. (2005) *Look Ahead2:* An English Course for Senior High School Students Year XI. Jakarta: Erlangga.
- Susanti, R. (2007). The Grammatical Cohesion of Reading Text of Year Seven

  Junior High School Textbook "Smartsteps" published by Ganeca Exact

  Skripsi. semarang: English Department Languages and Arts Faculty,

  Semarang State University.
- Stephen Dulay Krashen. (1982). *Principles and Practice Second Languange*Acquisition. California: Pergamon Press Inc.
- Tansaken, S.-K. (2006). *Collaborating towards Coherence*.

  Amsterdam/Philadelphia: John Benjamins Publishing Company
- Wardiman, A. Masduki B.J. and Sukirman D. 2008. *English in Focus for Grade IX Junior High School (SMP/MTs)*. Jakarta: Pusat Perbukuan Departemen Pendidikan Nasional
- Yunus, L. S. (2014). Cohesive Errors in Writing among ESL Pre-Service

  Teachers. *English Language Teaching*; 7.

Zia Hisni Mubarak, H. D. (2013). An Analysis of Students' Ability In Building

Cohesion and Coherence In Argumentative Essays Written By the Fourth

Year Students of English Department. *Journal English Language Teaching (ELT), 1* (3).

Note: I hereby that all the contents and citations in this research has been composed as good as possible and all the responsibility regarding to the mistakes of writing fell on the researcher.