## **Chapter V**

#### **Conclusions and Suggestions**

This chapter provides the information on how the overall summery or conclusion of this research is presented. This chapter covers up two sub topics, those are conclusion and the suggestion in its relation to the students' perspective towards the language laboratory in English Department, State University of Gorontalo.

## **Conclusions**

Based on the description in findings and discussions about the students' perception towards the language laboratory in English Department, therefore, it can be concluded that there are some students who do not feel fully satisfied with the quality and services given in language laboratory.

From the result of the questionnaires with these participants believe that the language laboratory should have helped them in learning English, however, they think that language laboratory is not supported with some tools which are needed by them in order to improve their language skills. Such as the headsets which are provided in language laboratory are not working properly, the computers are broken, the very slow internet access or the Wi-Fi sometimes makes them feel frustrated when learning in language lab. They also argued that the language laboratory is not really comfortable since the people could speak loudly and come and go easily. The said that language laboratory should be a very comfortable place to learn and practice their English skill, in contrast, they found it is difficult to learn and to keep focus while learning in language laboratory

# Suggestions

Based on the data findings and discussion, it revealed that there are some major points that should be fixed in language laboratory, thus the following are the suggestions based on the findings of this study:

- 1. The tools which are broken need to be repaired
- 2. The software in language laboratory should be up dated
- 3. The language laboratory should provide better service and make a comfortable atmosphere for the students to learn and use the language laboratory.

#### References

- Amir, Y. (2000) "Contact Hypothesis in Ethnic Relations," in Weiner, Eugene,
  (eds.) *The Handbook of Interethnic Coexistence* (New York: The
  Continuing Publishing Company), 162-181
- Bocar, R. (2013).*Improving Students' Listening Skill Through the Language Laboratory*. Algeria: Mohamed Kheider University Publisher.
- Cesar, M. (2006). *Language Laboratory for Learning Spoken and Written Language*. Retrieved from: http://bederebhashe.org
- Creswell, B. (2009). *An introduction of qualitative research*. Nottingham: Trent Focus Group
- Cullen, R. (2001). Perspectives on user satisfaction surveys. *Language Laboratory Trends*, 49(4), 662-686. Retrieved October 12, 2007, from
  H.W. Wilson Library & Information Science Full Text database.
- Debowski, S. (2000). The hidden user: Providing an effective service to users of electronic information sources. *OCLC Systems & Services*, Dickenson, D., & Colorado State Library, D.
- Graham, K. & Grodzinski, A. (2001). Defining the remote language laboratory user: An online survey. *Laboratory and the Academy*.
- Hayes, S. (1992). New Media For Instruction: *Language Laboratory Facilities*.U.S: Health, Education and Welfare
- Lincoln, Y. (2002). Insights into language laboratory services and users from qualitative research. *Laboratory & Information Science Research*.

Madhavi, I.(2017).*The Role of Language Lab in English Language Learning*. Retrieved from: http://www.Articlesbase.Com.

- Malhotra, I. (2014). *Principle of instruction- research based strategy*. Emgland: Stanford University Press.
- Maheswari, V.K.(2004). Language Laboratory, Design & Online Learning(Vol.5). Retrieved from: <u>http://coe.ksu.edu/jecdol/Vol\_5/pdf/language\_lab\_final.pdf</u>
- Matthews, J. (2007). *Language laboratory assessment in higher* education. Westport CT: Laboratories Unlimited.
- Manggasari ,(2012) Persepsi mahasiswa peperawatan universitas indonesia program sarjana terhadap penerapan colloborative learning dan problem based learning pada kurikulum berbasis kompetensi. Facultas ilmu kesehatan Banding
- Moleong,L.J.(2007). *Metodologi penelitian qualitatif* (revisi ed.). Bandung: Remaja Rosdakarya.
- Myers, F. (2004). A Prosodic Aspect of the Pragmatic Particle *Sih:* Abstract Submission for ISMIL 13 from:

http://email.eva.mpg.de/~gil/ismil/13/abstracts/Faizah%20abstract%20IS MIL%2013

Richard, . 2010. *Multimedia Languange Lab: Standards, Specification and conditions, tullamore* : Offaly, Retrived from : <u>www.educatio.ie</u>

Rao, H., and Narayan, M. (1998). "Errors and Strategies in Reference of perception", *TESOL Quarterly* 8: 129-136.

- Shank, B. (2002). *Research and teaching connection in hospitality management Education journal*. United Kingdom: Hallam University
- Smriti, S. (2013). Language Laboratory: Purposes And Shortcomings. Vol.3

No.1.Retrieved from:

https://sites.google.com/site/journaloftechnologyforelt/archive/january-2013no-4/6-

Sugiyono, S. (2008). *Memahami penelitian qualitative* (4<sup>th</sup> ed.). Bandung: Alvabeta

- Suryana. (2010). Metode Penelitian Model Praktis Penelitian Kuantitaif dan Kualitatif (Class Handout) Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia, Bandung, Indonesia.
- Thompson, J. (2003). After school and online. *Language Journal* (1976). Retrieved from: OmniFile Full Text Mega database.
- Wilson ,D, & Thayalan, V. (2007). The Significance of the Language Laboratory in Communication. Retrieved from <u>http://www3.telus.net/linguisticsissues/lab</u>.
- Wang Y (2007) On the Cognitive Processes of Human Perception with Emotions, Motivations, and Attitudes. University of Calgary, Canada