

CHAPTER V

CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTION

This chapter discusses the conclusion and suggestion regarding the result of discussions of the researcher. The conclusions and suggestions are as follows:

Conclusion

After conducting and discussing the research question about the violation of quantity and relevance maxims in frozen movie as the object of research the data shows that there are 25 utterances that violate the quantity and relevance maxims.

Further conclusion can be presented as follows:

The utterances that violate the quantity and relevance maxims consist of directive, ordering, and requesting someone for doing something from speakers to hearer, commanding, complaining something, express felling, bringing change the word in conversation. The violation in the quantity and relevance maxims sometimes happened when the utterance that violate the contribution of information, the relevant of information and obscurity in their conversational. However, in those conversations, actually speakers wants to deliver such implied meaning to hearer in dialogue and also the utterances that violated both maxim caused by speech acts. Violation of quantity maxims is when people in conversations offer more or less information than is required, which thus creates humorous effects. If the speaker violates the quantity of maxim, they do not give the hearer enough information to know what is being talked about, because they do not want the hearer to know the full picture.

Violation of relevance maxim occurs when the speaker says the irrelevant comments. Maxim of relation is violated to signal embarrassment or to change the

subject. The speaker's contribution is not connected to the topic in the conversation.

Sometimes, when we are talking with other people, the problem happened is easy to express an idea but it is difficult to interpret it because every utterance needs to be interpreted based on its context. It means that what is uttered depends on who, where, when, and in what occasion the utterance appears. It called implicature.

Based on the data above that researcher founded all of the utterances that violation quantity and relevance maxims have implied meaning because the hearers hide the truly meaning.

In this research, researcher finds out the factors that violated quantity and relevance maxim in frozen movie: there are create humour (16%), create hyperbola and irony (28%), keep secret (12%), change the topic (44%), respect to hearer (4%) and be relax (12%). It can conclude if the factor change the topic is dominates in this research.

Suggestion

Based on the result of the research, the researcher has some suggestion for other researchers who interested in similar research. First, in conducting conversation, the researcher should focus about the topic of conversation to analyzing and interpreting the utterance because this is the important things in this part. Secondly, this research will give contribution in pragmatic subject especially about cooperative principle that related with maxims class. The last the researcher suggest to the readers especially the student of English Department to choose the violation of cooperative principle as the object of research, because there are many interesting aspect which can be analyze in cooperative principle. Some people do

not know how the important things to learn about violation of cooperative principle especially maxim, because some people do it violation when they speak.

REFERENCES

- Antuli, S. 2014. *The violation of quantity maxim and relevance maxim in denial derret's comedy novel "Hamsters" (Skripsi)*. Gorontalo: Universitas Negeri Gorontalo.
- Cook, G. 1989. *Discourse*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Cutting, J. 2002. *Pragmatics and Discourse; A Resource book for students*. New York: Routledge.
- Daud, A. I. 2013. *The Flouting the Cooperative Principle on Andrew Niccol's Movie "In Time" (skripsi)*. Gorontalo: State University of Gorontalo.
- Dewi, A. (2015). 'An Analysis of Flouting Maximin EFL Classroom Interaction' in *Journal Vision* 4 (2) 243-252
- Ebert, R. 2013. 'Frozen' in <http://www.rogerebert.com/review/amp/Frozen-2013>. Retrieved January 2018, 14, 10.30
- Grice, H. P. 1975. *logic and conversation*. New York: Academic Press.
- Jacob. 1988. 'The Role of Qualification in Qualitative Research in Education' in *Education Research Journal* 17 (1) 19-27
- Leech, G. 1983. *Principle of Pragmatics*. London: Longman Group Limited.
- Levinson, S. C. 1999. 'An Analysis of the Pragmatic Implicature' in *International*

Journal of English Language Teaching 3 (1) 42-48

- _____. 1983. *Pragmatics*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- McLeod, J. 2001. *Qualitative Research*. UK: Sage Publications Ltd.
- Mey, J. 1998. *An Introduction Pragmatics Second Edition*. Australia: Blacwell Publishing.
- _____. 1993. *Pragmatics: An Introduction*. London: Blackwell.
- Meyer, C. F. 2009. 'Introduction English Linguistics' in

http://dinus.ac.id/respository/docs/ajar/introducing_english_ling_upload.pdf. Retrieved December 10, 2016, 20.31
- Mukaro. 2013. 'Violation of conversational maxim in Shona' in *Journal of Cooperative Literature and Culture (JCLC)* 2 (4) 161.
- Natalia, T. a. 2008. 'The Multiple Violation of Conversational Maxim in Lying Done by the Characters in Some Episodes of Desperate Houserwives' in *Academic Journal Article* 10 (1) 1-68
- Natsir, N. C. 2014. *The violation maxims in Hangover movie script (Thesis)*. Medan, Sumatra Utara: University of Medan.
- Nizar, A. M. 2012. *The pragmatics analysis of cooperative principles used bythe main characters in a movie entitled "Torn Legacy"(Thesis)*. kodus Jawa tengah: Muria kodus university.

- Pakaya, R. 2015. *The Study of Maxims Class in Titanik Movie*. Gorontalo: State University of Gorontalo.
- Pan, W. 2012. 'Linguistics Basic of Humor in uses of Grice's Cooperative Principle' in *International journal of Applied Linguistics & English Literature* 1 (1) 20
- Parker, F. 1986. *Linguistics for Non-Linguistics*. London: Taylor & Francis Ltd.
- Paul D Leedy, J. E. 2005. 'Framework of Problem-Based Research: A Guide for Novice Researcher on the Development of a Research-Worthy Problem' in *The International Journal of an Emerging Transdicipline* 11 (1) 49
- Peccei, J. S. 1999. *Pragmatics*. London and New York: Routledge.
- Rahardi. 2003. 'Cooperative Principle in Pragmatics Theory' in <http://www.gorontalo-education.blogspot.com>. Retrieved 05 January, 2017 14.00
- Said, F. O. 2017. *An analysis of commissive speech act in Bridget Jones's Diary by Helen Fielding*. Gorontalo: State University of Gorontalo.
- Smith, J. K. 1983. 'Quantitative Versus Qualitative Methods: Quantitative and Qualitative Research' in *Journal of Theoretical and Philosophical Criminology* 1 (1) 23-24
- Tarigan, H. G. (1990). *Teaching Semantics*. Bandung: Space.

Taufiq, A. M. (2018). 'A study of Humor in Bone Regency: A Socio Pragmatic

Review' in *Journal of Language Teaching and Research* 9 (1) 141-143

Yule, G. (1996). *Pragmatics*. New York: Oxford University Press.

