

Chapter 5: Conclusion and Suggestion

This chapters present the general conclusion of the study and some suggestion that hopefully will be valuable for others who want to use this research.

Conclusion

Based on the conclusion above, the researcher would like to give some conclusion as follow:

In the final part of this research, the researcher draws some conclusion as follows:

1) The reading interest of the students SMPN 1 Kabila after being gathered with questioner, the highest score got was 85 and the lowest score was 49 with the average score was 67,64.

2) The students' reading comprehension is as follows the highest score was 90 and the lowest score was 35 with the average score was 69,8.

3) Through the correlation test using product moment correlation is found the coefficient of correlation coefficient 0, 9851 is categorized as very high correlation. If it confirmed into interpretation table of correlation coefficient, $r = 0.396$. It means that the correlation

Between students' reading interest and their reading comprehension is categorized as high correlation.

Suggestion

From the conclusion above, there are some suggestion that can be give:

1. For the students at SMP N 1 Kabila especially who are sitting in the second grade of class E are suggested to be improve the participation and to be active in the class during the reading activities to be taught. This is can be implement

with start to make reading as the habit. Students can start to read their favorite books. Beside, students also suggested to practices to write the conclusion of the subject has read in order to increase the students' ability in reading subject.

2. For the teachers are suggested to motivated the students to be participated and active during the reading lesson, for example teacher can give the students reward. Also teachers are suggested to improve the accusation of students reading during the reading activities and to train the students with the question and answer section to help students to find out the answer of the questions in the reading lesson appropriately.
3. For the researchers are hopefully this research can be useful for other research to conduct further research about reading interest and reading comprehension.

References

- Ambo, Olfan. (2015). *The correlation contribution of vocabulary mastery towards students' reading comprehension in narrative text*. Gorontalo: State University of Gorontalo.
- Cresswell, John. (2012). *Education research 4th edition*. America.
- Cambria, J. & Guthrie, J. T. (2010). *Motivating and engaging students in reading*. *The NERA Journal*, 46 (1), 16-29. Retrieved from <http://www.literacyconnects.org/img/2019/11/motivating-and-engaging-students-in-reading-Cambria-Guthrie.pdf>
- Ellis, G3. (1991). *The story telling hand book*. Penguin Group England
- Djaali. Prof. Dr. (2007, p.121). *Psikologi pendidikan*. Jakarta: Bumi Aksara.
- Djiwandono, S. M. (2008, p. 116). *Test bahasa*. Jakarta: Indeks.
- Djiwandono, S. (2008, p.176). *Tes bahasa dalam pengajaran*. Bandung: Penerbit ITB.
- Farida .R. (2011, p.28). *Pengajaran membaca di Sekolah dasar*. Jakarta: Bumi Aksara.
- Hornby A.S et.al. (1984, p.622). *Kamus inggris- indonesia*. Oxford University Press. Jakarta: Pustaka Ilmu.
- Mickulecky, Beatrice and Linda Jeffries. (2000). *Basic reading power*. Longman.
- Misbahuddin. (2011). *The effect of students' vocabulary knowledge in their reading comprehension*. *International journal of scientific & technology research* volume 2, Issue 1.

- Ningrum, S. (2017). *The correlation between Student's motivation and achievement in reading comprehension*. Language Center of Universities Muslim Nusantara AW, Medan, Indonesia.
- Nutal, C. (2010). *Teaching reading skill in foreign language*. New edition. Heinemann. Halley court, Jordan Hill. Oxford OX2 8EJ.
- Richards, J.C. & Renandya, W. A. (2002,p.277). *Methodology in language teaching. an anthology of current practice*. New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.
- Somadayo, S. (2011, p.9-10). *Strategi dan teknik pembelajaran membaca*. Yogyakarta: Graha Ilmu.
- Slameto. (2003,p.57). *Belajar dan faktor-faktor yang mempengaruhinya*. Jakarta: Rineka Cipta.
- Slameto. (2003,p.180). *Belajar dan faktor-faktor yang mempengaruhinya*. Jakarta: Rineka Cipta.
- Slameto. (2003). *Belajar dan faktor-faktor yang mempengaruhinya*. Jakarta: Rineka Cipta.
- Sugiyono. (2004, p.193). *Metode penelitian*. Bandung: Alfabeta.
- Sugiyono. (2007). *Statistik untuk penelitian*. Bandung: Alfabeta, cv
- Sugiyono. (2008, p.14). *Metode penelitian kuantitatif, kuantitatif dan R&D*, Bandung: Alfabeta.
- Sugiyono. (20017, p.359). *Metode penelitian pendidikan pendekatan kuantitatif, kualitatif, dan R&D*. Bandung : Alfabeta.
- Sugiyono. (2009, p.228). *Metode penelitian pendidikan pendekatan kuantitatif, kualitatif, dan R&D*. Bandung : Alfabeta.

Sugiyono. (2010, p.134). *Metode penelitian pendidikan pendekatan kuantitatif, kualitatif, dan R&D*. Bandung : Alfabeta.

Sugiyono. (2014, p.124). *Metode penelitian pendidikan pendekatan kuantitatif, kualitatif, dan R&D*. Bandung : Alfabeta.

Sugiyono. (2011, p.207). *Metode penelitian kuantitatif dan kualitatif dan R & D*. Bandung: CV Alfabeta.

Sugiyono. (2011, p.255). *Metode penelitian kuantitatif dan kualitatif dan R & D*. Bandung: CV Alfabeta.

Van Dijk, T. A., & Kintsch, W. (1983, p.262). *Strategies of discourse comprehension*. New York: Academic Press.

Winkel, W.S. (2004,p.188). *Psikologi pendidikan dan evaluasi belajar*. Jakarta. PT. Gramedia Pustaka Utama.