

## **Chapter V**

### **Conclusion**

In this chapter, I would like to draw conclusions based on the finding and discussion previously, and give the suggestions for the future researchers who will conduct their research on the field of pragmatics especially in scope of cooperative principle and conversational implicature.

#### **5.1 Conclusion**

As one of pragmatics branches in linguistics, conversational implicature has become interesting subject to be investigated. It is because there is something deeper that goes beyond the words. This made the hearer needs to work extra on interpreting the conveyed meaning in order to reach the understanding. The supporting elements on the conversational implicatures such as context, background of knowledge and experience, and utterances are very important to be recognized and synchronized one to another to get a relevant interpretation.

Based on the finding and discussion in chapter IV, I am able to draw conclusions that, there are quality maxim realizations, quantity maxim realizations, relation maxim realizations and manner maxim realizations in The King's Speech movie. Each of the maxim realization has different number of utterance. Furthermore, with the different number of indicators in each maxim category, it is also found that, the utterances in each maxim realization do not apply all the indicators in that maxim category. Most of them apply one indicator for one category of maxim. In quality maxim realization, it is presented that, among 3 data, 2 of them follow the first indicator (to deliver true information) and 1 data applies the second indicator (to deliver provable information). In quantity maxim realization, it is shown that, among 8 data, 3 of them apply the first indicator (to give

informative response according to the current purpose of exchange) and 5 data follow second indicator (to give right amount of response/ not too much). In relation maxim realization, it only has 1 indicator (to be relevant), and 26 data apply that indicator. In manner maxim realization, it is presented that, among 3 data, 1 of them requires first indicator (to be clear) and 2 data follow second indicator (to be brief).

In addition, I found that there are quality maxim violations, quantity maxim violations, relation maxim violations and manner maxim violations in The King's Speech movie. Each of them has different number of utterance. Every utterance in maxim violation, violates one indicator in different maxim category. For instance, in quality maxim violation, 1 data violate the first indicator (to deliver true information) and 1 data violate the second indicator (to deliver provable information). In quantity maxim violation, among 14 data, 3 of them violate first indicator (to give informative response according to the current purpose of exchange) and 11 data violate second indicator (to give right amount of response/ not too much). In relation maxim violation, 24 data violate the indicator (to be relevant). In manner maxim violation, among 3 data, 2 of them violate first indicator (to be clear) and 1 data violates second indicator (to be brief).

There are many conversational implicature researches conducted with movie as the object. Besides Grice's theory, most of them were analyzed based on the context of conversation. In this case the researchers see *the recent context* of the spoken utterance in the recent time when the speaker is saying the utterance. Obviously, *the recent context* in the conversation is used to support the researcher's point of view in order to get an appropriate and relevant interpretation. However, there are other aspects that have not been used in analyzing the utterance, especially in the movie, and it becomes the novelty of this study. This is relevant to Noor's statement (2021) who emphasized that, novelty in research can be found in many aspects, for instance: the aspect of process, management, methods,

procedures, and many more. As well as Noor's statement, this research's novelty is found in the analysis process, where I built the interpretation, not only used my point of view and Grice's theory of cooperative principle, but also involving other supporting aspects.

After conducted this study, I found that, there are other types of context to support the analysis, called *backwards context* and *forwards context*. *Backwards context* and *forwards context* become other important aspects to support *the recent context* in order to get stronger, relevant and appropriate interpretation. It is because, to make the researcher's perspective become clearer, it needs more than one reference when conducting the analysis. *The recent context* is the main stage where the utterance is selected, but to identify significant literal meaning (in maxim realization) and significant implied meaning (in maxim violation), *the recent context* needs to be supported by other relevant aspects which can synchronize "what is said" with "what is literally said" and "what is said" with "what is implied".

*Backwards context* refers to the previous context before *the recent context*, where the main speaker, or other related speakers say the utterances which have equal meaning with related utterances in *the recent context*. Not only utterance, *backwards context* could be related activity that shows the relevant circumstances. *Backwards context* could be the relevant previous scenes in the movie, and could be any historical information from other references (if the movie based on true history).

In addition, *forwards context* refers to the context after *the recent context*, where the speaker or other related speakers convey the utterances which have equal meaning with related utterances in *the recent context*. *Forward context* is not only utterance, but also related activity that shows the relevant circumstances. *Forwards context* could be the relevant subsequent scenes in the movie.

An analysis can combine the main stage (*recent context*) with one of the supporting aspects (*backwards context*, or *forwards context*) depends on the availability of related scenes in the movie. Even, the analysis with the combination of the main stage (*recent context*) with both of the aspects (*backwards context* and *forwards context*) will build stronger interpretation. As in the analysis in chapter IV, page 30 to 33, there I combined *the backwards context* and *the forwards context* to support *the recent context* to build a strong, relevant and appropriate interpretation.

Another novelty in this study is, I found that an utterance could be included into two types at once (specifically, it requires two different indicators from two different types of maxim) and this was not mentioned in the previous study that analyzed conversational implicature using Grice's theory. For instance, an utterance that follows maxim of manner ("*be brief*") could be included as an utterance which follows maxim of relation ("*be relevant*"). As in chapter IV, page 43, the data is included in realization of manner maxim because the utterance is as brief as required in the first indicator of manner maxim. However, because the utterance contains relevant answer to what was asked in the question, this indicates that the utterance requires maxim of relation. Another sample of this indication is in page 48, where the data is included in violation of quality maxim, but it is indicated to be violating maxim of relation, too. All of those indication proved that the utterances which included in maxim realization and maxim violation could be involved in more than one type of maxim.

Those things become the novelty in this research, because I have not found this kind of analysis in the previous studies that analyze conversational implicature with movie as the object of research.

## 5.2 Suggestion

This research is expected to be one of references on the further researches that will be conducted by the future researchers. In this session I would like to give suggestions to the future researchers who will conduct this kind of research (especially those who use movie and novel as the object of the research) to use *backwards context* and *forward context* to support the analysis of *recent context* in order to sharpen the researchers' perspectives to build stronger, relevant and appropriate interpretations.

Furthermore, since Grice's theory about maxims has been improved, completed and simplified by other linguists after him, I believe that those improvements will be useful and more significant to be applied in analyzing conversational implicature. Those improved theories are suggested to be used by future researchers who will conduct pragmatics study, especially in scope of conversational implicature.

## Bibliography

- Age of Revolution. (2021). *The Red Flag*. Age of Revolution Official Website, accessed and viewed on December, 8 2021 at 5.23 pm at <https://ageofrevolution.org/200-object/red-flag/> (online)
- CNN Indonesia. (2015). *Arsip Ungkap Pangeran Edward VIII Jadi Simpatisan Nazi*. CNN Indonesia Official Website, accessed and viewed on December, 8 2021 at 6.00 pm at <https://www.cnnindonesia.com/internasional/20150609151206-134-58817/arsip-mengungkap-pangeran-edward-viii-jadi-simpatisan-nazi> (online)
- Daily History. (2021). *How historically accurate is the movie The King's Speech*. Daily History Official Website, accessed and viewed on November, 16 2021 at 7.00 pm at [https://dailyhistory.org/How\\_historically\\_accurate\\_is\\_the\\_movie\\_The\\_King%27s\\_Speech](https://dailyhistory.org/How_historically_accurate_is_the_movie_The_King%27s_Speech) (online)
- Donaghy, Kieran. (2014). *How can film help you teach or learn English?*. British Council Official Site, accessed and viewed on September, 16 2021 at 2.54 pm at <https://www.britishcouncil.org/voices-magazine/how-can-film-help-you-teach-or-learn-english> (online)
- Fiorelli, Lindsey. (2016). *What Movies Show: Realism, Perception and Truth in Film*. Penn Libraries: University of Pennsylvania.
- CNN International. (2011). *Forgotten archive reveals true story behind 'King's Speech'*. CNN International official website, accessed and viewed on January, 7 2022 at 12.05 pm at <http://edition.cnn.com/2011/SHOWBIZ/Movies/01/25/kings.speech.grandson/index.html>

- Geurts, Bart (2010). *Quantity Implicatures*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Grice, Paul. (1989). *Studies In The Way of Words*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- Haugh, M. (2002). *The Intuitive Basis Of Implicature: Relevance Theoretic Implicitness Versus Gricean Implying*. International Pragmatic Association, page 118-134.
- Harley Street Official Site, 2005, *History of Harley Street*, accessed and viewed on January, 5 2022 at 8.59 pm at <https://www.harleystreet.com/why-harley-street/history/>
- Heigham, J., & Croker, R., A. (2009). *Qualitative Research in Applied Linguistics; A Practical Introduction*. United Kingdom: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Horn, L. (2006). *The Handbook of Pragmatics*. United Kingdom: Blackwell Reference Online.
- Hutchings Suite, 2011, *The Biography of Tom Hooper*, accessed and viewed on September, 16 2021 at 2.54 pm at <http://emma-hutchings.suite101.com/tom-hooper-a-short-biography-of-the-oscar-winning-director-a356655> (online).
- Kecskes, I., & Horn, L., R. (2007). *Explorations in Pragmatics: Linguistic, Cognitive and Intercultural Aspects*. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Kiel. (2013). *The Pebbles of Demosthenes, the King's speech, and the genetics of stuttering*. International League Against Epilepsy, accessed and viewed on December, 6 2021 at 2.48 pm at <http://epilepsygenetics.net/2013/10/22/the-pebbles-of-demosthenes-the-kings-speech-and-the-genetics-of-stuttering/>
- Leech, G. N. (1983). *Principles of Pragmatics*. New York: Longman Group Limited.
- Levinson, S. C. (1983). *Pragmatics*. United Kingdom: Cambridge University Press.

- Linguistic Article (2010). *An Analysis of Implicature*, accessed and viewed on September, 16 2021 at 2.16 pm at [http://english-corner.com/linguistics/Article\\_Print.asp?ArticleID=217](http://english-corner.com/linguistics/Article_Print.asp?ArticleID=217) (online).
- Meyer, C. (2009). *Introducing English Linguistics*. Singapore: Cambridge University Press.
- Miles, M., B., Huberman, A., M., & Saldana, J. (2014). *Qualitative Data Analysis; A Methods Sourcebook; Edition 3*. United States of America: SAGE Publications, Inc.
- Noor, Munawar. (2021). *Novelty/ Kebaruan Dalam Karya Tulis Ilmiah Skripsi/ Tesis/ Disertasi*. Jurnal Universitas 17 Agustus 1945 Semarang.
- Plot Summary of Film, 2011, *Brief Synopsis of The King's Speech*, accessed and viewed on September, 16 2021 at 2.38 pm at <http://www.imdb.com/title/tt1504320/plotsummary> (online).
- Riemer, N. (2010). *Introducing Semantics*. United Kingdom: Cambridge University Press.
- Septimaylofa, R. Y. (2020). *Conversational Implicature in Beauty and The Beast Movie*. State of Islamic University Sulthan Thaha Saifuddin Jambi.
- Shepherd Market Official Site, 2020, *Shepherd Market's History*, accessed and viewed on January, 5 2022 at 8.38 pm at <http://www.shepherdmarket.co.uk/history>
- Sugiyono. (2015). *Metode Penelitian Kombinasi (Mix Method)*. Bandung: Alfabeta
- The King's Speech Official Site, 2011, *British film "The King's Speech"*, accessed and viewed on September, 16 2021 at 2.45 pm at [www.thekingsspeech.com](http://www.thekingsspeech.com) (online).
- The King's Speech Dialogue Script accessed and downloaded on October, 16 2021 at 3.39 pm at [www.cinema-histoire-pessac.com](http://www.cinema-histoire-pessac.com)
- Trask, R. (2007). *Language and Linguistic: The Key Concepts*. New York: Routledge.



Yule, George. (1996). *Pragmatics*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.