

Chapter V: Conclusion and Suggestion

This chapter presents the conclusion and suggestion dealing with the research finding of the research. The conclusion comes from the findings that have been discussed in the chapter before. Based on this conclusion, the researcher gives some suggestions as the contribution for research subject learning.

Conclusion

Based on the findings described in the previous chapter, the researcher realized that these five participants got a huge problem in writing their basic consideration. In this case, the researcher has concluded those five participants' final score by applying final score category which declared by Brown (2007), displayed in chapter three. The data analysis showed that these five participants' final score was only reached fair and poor category. This thing indicated that all participants needed more improvement in handling five aspects of writing which being arranged in basic consideration. In the other words, the quality of participants' writing basic consideration was still low.

Moreover, the research question of "How are students integrating aspect of writing in their basic consideration of proposal?" has been answered. By considering the result of the analysis, the researcher concluded that grammar became the aspect that mostly participants lack at. Plenty mistakes of agreement inaccuracies seemed to be the participants' huge issue that crucially influenced the quality of their grammar aspect. By following the data in discussion, there was even found one participant who got the lowest score which categorize as poor. Eventually, this thing is agreed with most of participants' answer in interview session that considering grammar as the hardest aspect to deal with.

THE ANALYSIS OF ASPECT OF WRITING

By following the result analysis of the whole data, the researcher concluded that quality of participants' mechanic aspect was almost as poor as grammar aspect. In fact, the data of the interview showed that most of these participants were confidently stated that this aspect was easy to be handled. The participants seemed to be not worry as much as they did in the rest of four other aspects, particularly in grammar aspect. The participants believe that they were capable in handling this aspect well, because it was only about dealing with some basic things that they have surely mastered. Nevertheless, the data of document said the contrast which showed that most of these participants were bad in handling mechanic aspect.

Furthermore, the data which displayed in findings explained that the amount of participants' score in content aspect was similar to mechanic aspect. Most of participants wrote their content without involving three things that commonly put in basic consideration. They are previous study, gaps, and reason of choosing research topic. Some of these participants were even put a lot of information about the research subject in generally and plenty of definition from couple of experts. Eventually, the participant missed the point of the important things that meant to be assessed.

Apart from this thing, the research has summed up that most of participants were quietly good in handling vocabulary aspect. There were even some participants who got score which closed to the perfect number. However, the researcher found there were two participants who ended up by getting fair and poor score. This fact proved that among those five participants, there was only two students who considered being difficult in handling vocabulary aspect. Accordingly, the result of this study proved that this participant got poor knowledge of vocabulary, particularly about English academic words. Consequently, there were found plenty of mistakes in word form that being not understandable and probably confused the reader.

THE ANALYSIS OF ASPECT OF WRITING

Nonetheless, according to the data analysis, almost all the participant got good score in organization. This fact indicated that handling organization could be considered as the easy thing. By concerning participants' good score, organization became the aspect that participants mostly good at. Although the participants did not get the perfect score, but the result of the analysis was enough to show that most of these participants were good in organize the idea of their writing basic consideration.

Moreover, by comparing the result of the document to the result of interview, the researcher has summed up that there were two aspects that seemed to be contrast with most of participants' answer in interview. Accordingly, most of these participants stated that organization was not the easiest aspect to be handled. However, the result of document showed the reverse, because most of participants reached good score on it. In line with this thing, most of participants said that mechanic was an easy aspect to be handled. Nevertheless, the fact proved the contrast, because most of participants got fair category of score.

Suggestions

In this part, the researcher would like to contribute some suggestions for the students, lectures and future researcher by considering the research findings and discussion. The researcher suggests the students to be more paying attention towards the rules of writing scientific paper, particularly in composing the basic consideration of their proposal. Additionally the students should not be focusing their mind in one aspect only while ignoring the other aspects. It is a must that students have to consider all the writing aspects and rules as the important thing to do in producing good basic consideration. Moreover, the researcher also suggests the students to consider going for asking their lecturer about things that they did not know in writing basic consideration. This act

THE ANALYSIS OF ASPECT OF WRITING

could probably let the students to share their ideas and get more advices and knowledge in order to help them composing their basic consideration.

Furthermore, the researcher suggests the lecturer to make a kind of improvement in teaching the material of basic consideration by relating it intensively towards the aspect of writing. Besides, it must be much better if the lecturer gives some tips to write a good piece of writing work, things to involve in, or even avoid by students in producing a good writing basic consideration.

Correspondingly, the researcher hopes that any future researcher could use this study as their reference. Besides, the researcher hopes that there will be any further research that probably will discover any things that previously missed by the researcher in order to complete some deficiencies of this research. By considering the result of the whole data, most of participants opined that organization became the aspect which not easy to be handled. However, the fact showed the reverse. Good score that mostly participants got in this aspect has indicated that they carefully arranged and organized the idea of their basic consideration. Therefore, it must be much more interesting if the future researcher could carry the same study that specifically pointed out students' mental condition in handling organization aspect of basic consideration. Eventually, the result will possibly discover new things or even influence the other researcher in investigating any other case which connected to organization aspect.

References

- Aka, M.R. (2018). *Students Problem in English Writing: An Analysis on Senior High School Students' Writing Work*. Retrieved on 12, 2019 from UIN AR-Raniry website: <https://repository.ar-raniry.ac.id>
- American Speech Language Hearing Association. (2008). *Second Language Learning Process*. Retrieved on February 15, 2019, from American Speech Language Hearing Association web site: <https://www.asha.org>
- Boston College Libraries. (2017). *Writing an Education Research Paper*. Retrieved on January 5, 2019 from Boston College University web site: <https://libguides.bc.edu/edpaper/sections>
- Brown, H.D. (2007). *Teaching by Principles: An Interactive Approach to Language Pedagogy*. 2nd Edition. Ney York: Longman
- Creswell, J. W. (2003). *Research design: quantitative, qualitative, and mixed method approaches*. USA:SAGE
- Creswell, J. W. (2009). *Research design: quantitative, qualitative, and mixed method approaches, 3rd Edition*. USA:SAGE
- Fink. (2014). *Conducting Research Literature Review 2nd Edition*. Thousands Oaks, CA.
- Fudhla,N., Rozimella, Y., & Ningsih, K. (2014). *An Analysis on Students Research Proposal*. Retrieved on March 12, 2020 from: <https://ejournal.unp.ac.id>
- Harmer. (2004). *How to Teach Writing 1st Edition*. Longman Publishing Group.
- Harris, P. (1979). *Testing English as a Second Laguage*. New York: Tata Mc Grow-Hill Publisher Co. Ltd.

- Haryanto, S. (2014). *Analisis Isi "Background of the Study" Proposal Penelitian Mahasiswa Bahasa Inggris FKIP-UMS*. Retrieved on January 5, 2019 from <https://journals.ums.ac.id>
- Heaton. (1997). *Writing English Language Tests*. London: Longman Group Ltd.
- Markum, E. (2017). *Perbedaan Skripsi, Thesis, dan Disertasi*. Retrieved on February 12, 2019 from <https://staff.ui.ac.id/e.markum>
- Meyers. (2005). *Gateways to Academic Writing: Effective Sentences Paragraph and Essay*. New York: Longman
- Peha, S. (2004). *What is Good Writing*. New York: Learning Express, LLC
- Rufinus, A. (2015). *An analysis on the students' research background writing*. Retrieved on July 29, 2019 from: <https://jurnal.untan.ac.id>
- Swales, J. M., Feak, C. B. (2012). *Academic Writing for Graduate Students: Essential Tasks and Skills*. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press
- Syarif, H. (2014). *Students' Ability and Problems in Writing Review Text*. Retrieved on February 20, 2019 from <https://ejournal.unp.ac.id>
- University of Nebraska-Lincoln. (2021). *Writing About Your Research: Verb Tense*. Retrieved on November 19, 2021 from <https://www.unl.edu>
- Webb, R. K. (2015). *Teaching English writing for a global context: an examination of ns, ESL and EFL learning strategies that work*. PASAA, 49.