Chapter 5: Conclusion and Suggestion

This chapter presents two sections which are the conclusion and suggestion. First, the conclusion provides a summary of the research findings. Next, the suggestion presented for readers, English language lectures, pragmatics or sarcasm enthusiast, and last but not least, this research also presented for future researchers.

Conclusion

This research was directed to find the sarcasm in the movie titled Joker. Based on the research findings and discussion in the prior chapter, there are sixteen sarcasm utterances that have been chosen by the researcher as the data to be analyzed. The researcher has found sixteen data which it then categorized for sarcasm purposes. Those sarcasm were successfully uttered by characters in the movie entitled *Joker* yet unfortunately, among those selected data, there are only a few categories of sarcasm purposes were found in the sixteen sarcastic remarks in the two hours long movie. Attardo's theory has been applied in this research in order to discover the purposes of sarcasm.

Furthermore, the most prominent kind of sarcasm purposes uttered by characters in the movie was sophistication, it is because of during the conversation people generally put humorous effect on it to color their sentence and additionally people love to be in game with words. Sarcasm in types of sophistication itself has a strong connection with the movie *Joker* since the movie contained of daily conversation which is related to real life, which depicted that people often preferred to hide their true intention by language fiddling.

Suggestions

Based on the conclusions above, the researcher proposes some suggestions to the following parties.

1. To Students

There are many researchers who conduct research in pragmatics, yet only a few of them take sarcasm as their topic. In fact, learning about sarcasm brings so many advantages, such as for the researcher and the reader. Therefore, the researcher suggests that more students conduct researches that discuss sarcasm that is viewed pragmatically.

2. To Future Researchers

Sufficient data are important in research. A researcher should determine the object of the research in order to answer her/his research problems. In this research, *The Joker* provides sufficient data that can answer and explain the research problems. Thus, the future researchers who want to investigate sarcasm would also carefully choose the object of the research, because not all of the movie will provide the sufficient data. Other resources such as novel, magazine, newspaper, platform of social media and even comic can be used as a topic to conduct as research as well.

REFERENCES

- Attardo, S. (2002). Humor and irony in interaction: From mode adoption to failure of detection. In L. Anolli, R. Ciceri, & G. Riva (Eds.), Studies in new technologies and practices in communication. Say not to say: New perspectives on miscommunication. IOS Press
- Bachtiar, E., and Hardjanto D. T., 2018. Sarcastic Expressions in Two American Movies. LEXICON. 5(2). 152-166
- Baragona, A., & Rambo. E. L., (Eds.). (2018). Words that Tear the Flesh: Essays on Sarcasm in Medieval and Early Modern Literature and Cultures. De Gruyter
- Berrendonner, A. (1981). Eléments de pragmatique linguistique (Elements of pragmatic linguistics). Minuit
- Cahyani, H.E. 2017. A Study of Sarcasm in the American Sitcom the Bing Bang Theory. *Skripsi*. Sanata Dharma University. Yogyakarta
- Dews, S., Kaplan, J. & Winner, E. (1995). Why not say it directly? The social functions of irony. Discourse Processes, 19, 347-367.
- Giora, R. (1995). On irony and negation. Discourse Processes, 19, 239-264.
- Glucksberg, S. (2001). *Understanding Figurative Language: from metaphors to idioms*. Oxford University Press
- Grice, H. P. (1978). Further notes on logic and conversation. In P. Cole (Ed.), Pragmatics (113-127). New York: Academic Press.

- Griffths, P. (2006). *An Introduction to English Pragmatics and Pragmatics*:

 Edinburg University Press Ltd
- Haiman, J. (1998). Talk is Cheap: Sarcasm, Alienation, and the Evolution of Language. Oxford University Press
- Hancock, B., Ockleford, E., & Windridge, K. (2009). *An Introduction to Qualitative Research*. The NIHR RDS EM / YH
- Hariyanto, D. F. 2017. Penggunaan gaya bahasa sarkasme pada film the raid : berandal. *Skripsi*. Universitas Mataram. Mataram
- Horn, L. R. & Ward. G. (2006). *The handbook of pragmatics*. Blackwell Publishing Ltd
- Huang, L., Gino, F., & Galinsky, A.D. (2015). The highest form of intelligence:
 Sarcasm increases creativity for both expressers and
 recipients. Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes, 131,
 162-177.
- Kreidler, C. W. (1998). Introducing English Pragmatics. Routledge
- Kroger, P. R. (2018). Analyzing meaning: An introduction to pragmatics and pragmatics. Language Science Press
- Mey, J. L. (2001). *Pragmatics: An Introduction*. (2nd ed.). Blackwell Publishing Ltd

- Migrant & Seasonal Head Start Technical Assistance Center, (2006). *Introduction* to Data Analysis Handbook. Academy for Educational Development
- Miles, M. B & Huberman, A. M. (1994). *Qualitative data analysis: An expanded sourcebook*, (2nd ed.). Sage Publications, Inc
- Myers-Roy, A. (1981). The function of irony in discourse. Text, 1(4), 407-423.
- Pandey, P., Pandey. M. M. (2015). Research methodology: Tools and techniques.

 Bridge Center
- Rillof, E., Qadir, A., Surve, P., De Silva, L., Gilbert, N. & Huang, R. 2013.

 Sarcasm as Contrast between a Positive Sentiment and Negative Situation.

 Proceedings of the 2013 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural

 Language Processing, USA: 18-21 October 2013. Pages. 704–714