

Chapter V: Conclusions and Recommendation

This chapter presented the conclusion of the researcher which conducted. And the researcher is supposed to give a few recommendations for the reader.

Conclusions

Based on the result of research and discussion about students' ability in reading comprehension by using the DRTA method can be summarized as follows:

The DRTA method can improve students' ability in reading comprehension. It can be seen from the data analysis that the students score in pre-test and post-test was significant differences, which is means students achievement after their applied DRTA method in the learning process. The total score in the pre-test, the students obtained is 136. Meanwhile, the total score in the post-test, which students obtain, is 221. It was proved the differences between pre-test and post-test data results. From this research, the hypothesis got $t_{\text{count}} = 10.63$ and $t_{\text{list}} = 2.101$, therefore it was accepted if $t_{\text{count}} > t_{\text{list}}$. The hypothesis was DRTA method can improve students' ability in reading comprehension. Means that, there was different between the result before and after being taught by using the DRTA method, this technique gave positive impact in the learning process and increased students reading comprehension.

Recommendation

Based on the result from data analysis, it can be seen that the teacher who want to utilize DRTA method in class, they should consider the characteristic of the students first. This method divided into three step that require students comprehension and also need more time to manage all students. Moreover, the use of DRTA method can be considered because it useful in learning process. Through the three steps, students more active in class and look enthusiastic.

For the next researcher who are interested in teaching reading in junior high school, they can get the basic information from this study to do the further research.

References

- Arikunto,S.2002. Metodologi Penelitian Suatu Pendekatan Proposal. Jakarta,
PT.Rineka Cipta
- Arikunto,S.2006. Metode Penelitian Kualitatif. Jakarta, Bumi Aksara
- Arikunto, & Suharsimi. (2009). *Management penelitian*. Jakarta: Rineka Cipta.
- Caldwell.(2008) *comprehension Achivment A classroom guide*.
London,Guilfrord,Ejournal of English Language Teaching Society (ELTS) Vol.3
No.2.2015-Issn 2331-184
- Creswell, J. W. (2012). *Planning, Conducting, And Evaluating Quantitative And
Qualitative Research* (Fourth Edition ed.). Boston: Pearson.
- Dalman, D. (2013). *Keterampilan Membaca*. Jakarta: PT. Raja Grafindo Persada.
- Dararat, P. (2012). *The Effect of Jigsaw II Technique on Reading Comprehension of
Mattayom Suksa I Students*. Bangkok: Srinakharinwirot University.
- Dewi, R. A. (2013). *The effect of using Pre-Questioning on students' reading
compherension achievement at second grade of SMPN 1 Seputih Banyak*.
Bandar Lampung: Lampung University Press.
- Depdiknas. (2006). Kurikulum Tingkat Satuan Pendidikan SMA dan MA. Jakarta:
Depdiknas.

El-Koumy, (2004). The Effects of Directed Reading Thinking Activity on EFL Students Referential and Inferential Comprehension, retrieved on Sunday 21 July 2013 from [http //papers.ssrn.com/so13/papers.cfm?abstract id=2365150](http://papers.ssrn.com/so13/papers.cfm?abstract id=2365150)

Erliana. S. 2011. *Improving Reading Comprehension Through Direct Reading Thinking Activity (DRTA) Strategy*. Journal on English as a Foreign Language. Volume 1.1

Fisher, D and Frey, N.(2004). *Improving Adolescent Literacy:Strategy at work*.new jersey:Pearson Prentice Hall.

Fitriani, S. S. (2015). *Improving reading comprehension of Acehnese EFL students* (Unpublished Dissertation. In U. Kasim, & S. Raisha, *EFL Students' Reading Comprehension Problems: Linguistic and Non-Linguistic Complexities* (p. 311). Armidale: University of New England.

Grabe, W.(2009). *Reading in a second language, Moving from theory to practice*. Cambridge, UK, Cambridge University Press.

<https://brainly.ph> . <https://goalbookapp.com> . <https://media.neliti.com>

Jennings,J,. Caldwell,J,. & Lerner, J.(2014) *membaca penilaian masalah dan strategi pengajaran*. Boston, MA pearson Education, inc.

Klingner, J. K, Vaughn, S.& Boardman, A. (2007). *Teaching Reading Comprehension to Students with Learning Difficulties*. New York, NY, Guilford Press

Nana Sudjana.(2002). *Penilaian Hasil Proses Belajar Mengajar*. Remaja Rosdakarya.

Nuttal, C. (1985). Teaching reading skills in a foreign language. *British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data. Loding* , 235.

Nuttall, C. T. (1996). *Teaching Reading Skills in a Foreign Language*. Oxford: Heinmann.

Odwan, T. A. (2012). The Effect of the Directed Reading Thinking Activity through Cooperative Learning on English Secondary Stage Students' Reading Comprehension in Jordan. *International Journal of Humanities and Social Science, Vol.2, No.16* , Page 138-151.

Pearson, P.D and L.G. Fielding.(1994),, *Comprehension Instruction,, In Handbook of Reading Research, Vol.II*, edited by R.Barr, M.Kamil,P. Mosenthai, and P.D.Pearson.New York, Longman.

Pressley,M.(2000). What Should comprehension instruction be the instruction of? In M.L. Kamil .P.B. Mosenthal,P.D.Pearson,& R.Barr (Eds), *Handbook of reading research* (Vol.3,pp. 545-561). Mahwah, NJ, Eribaum.

Richardson, J. S. (2009). *Reading to Learn in the Content Areas*. USA: Wadsworth Cengage Learning.

Rukmimi.2010.*Evaluating a Text for a Model*. Semarang Unnes Press

Smith, F. (1994). *Understanding Reading: A Psycholinguistic Analysis of Reading and Learning to Read Sixth Ed.* New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc., Publishers.

Stauffer, R. G.(1969).Directing Reading Maturity as Cognitive Process. New York:Harfer&Row.

Sugiyono. (2009). *Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif, Kualitatif dan R&D.* Bandung: Alfabeta.

Tankersley, K. (2005). Literacy strategies for Grade 4-12, Reinforcing the Threads of Reading. Alexandria, VA, Association for supervision and Curriculum Development.

Wallance, Catherine. 1996. *Reading.* New York: Oxford University Press.